



## **Human Rights Standards between Recognition, Confirmation, Affirmation and Change**

Schriften zum öffentlichen, europäischen und  
internationalen Recht

Band 31

# **Human Rights Standards Between Recognition, Confirmation, Affirmation and Change**

Edited by Professor Dr. JAU-HWA CHEN,  
Soochow University and

Professor (em.) Dr. EIBE RIEDEL,  
University of Mannheim

Assistant Editor Assessor iur. LUKAS ROMBERG,  
University of Mannheim

Bibliografische Information der Deutschen Nationalbibliothek | Die Deutsche Nationalbibliothek verzeichnet diese Publikation in der Deutschen Nationalbibliografie; detaillierte bibliografische Daten sind im Internet über [www.dnb.de](http://www.dnb.de) abrufbar.

E-ISBN 978-3-415-07859-8  
Print-ISBN 978-3-415-07858-1  
© 2026 Richard Boorberg Verlag

Das Werk einschließlich aller seiner Teile ist urheberrechtlich geschützt. Jede Verwertung, die nicht ausdrücklich vom Urheberrechtsgesetz zugelassen ist, bedarf der vorherigen Zustimmung des Verlages. Dies gilt insbesondere für Vervielfältigungen, Bearbeitungen, Übersetzungen, Mikroverfilmungen und die Einspeicherung und Verarbeitung in elektronischen Systemen. Die Nutzung sämtlicher Inhalte für das Text- und Data-Mining ist ausschließlich dem Richard Boorberg Verlag GmbH & Co KG vorbehalten. Der Verlag untersagt eine Vervielfältigung gemäß § 44b Abs. 2 UrhG ausdrücklich.

Anfragen gemäß EU-Verordnung über die allgemeine Produktsicherheit (EU) 2023/988 (General Product Safety Regulation - GPSR) richten Sie bitte an: Richard Boorberg Verlag GmbH & Co KG, Produktsicherheit, Scharrstraße 2, 70563 Stuttgart; E-Mail: [produktsicherheit@boorberg.de](mailto:produktsicherheit@boorberg.de)

Titelfoto: © Sven Hoppe - Fotolia | Satz und eBook-Umsetzung: abavo GmbH, Nebelhornstraße 8, 86807 Buchloe

Richard Boorberg Verlag GmbH & Co KG | Scharrstraße 2 | 70563 Stuttgart  
Stuttgart | München | Hannover | Berlin | Weimar | Dresden  
[www.boorberg.de](http://www.boorberg.de)

# Table of content

Acknowledgements . . . . .	13
Introduction ( <i>Jau-hwa Chen</i> ). . . . .	15
<b>A. Contemporary Challenges on Human Rights and Global Justice (<i>Mary Robinson</i>)</b> . . . . .	21
I. Gender Matters: Personal is Political . . . . .	21
1. In the Irish Senate . . . . .	22
2. Cases in Court. . . . .	24
3. Participation in Public Life . . . . .	26
II. Human Rights Protection . . . . .	28
1. 1997 Reform Package of Kofi Annan: The Holistic Nature of Human Rights . . . . .	28
2. Embedding Human Rights Within Local Culture . . . . .	29
3. The Global Compact on Business and Human Rights . . . . .	29
III. Global Justice and Local Context . . . . .	30
1. Global Warming: Climate Justice Approach . . . . .	31
2. Geopolitical Segregation: Challenges to the Values of Human Rights . . . . .	32
3. Domination of Social Media . . . . .	32
IV. Concluding Remarks . . . . .	33
<b>B. Witnessing an Era of the Gender Equality in Taiwan (<i>Mei-Nu Yu (Dagmar Yu)</i>)</b> . . . . .	35
I. Introduction . . . . .	35
II. The evolution of the women’s movement in Taiwan: Awakening of women’s awareness . . . . .	36
III. Revision of laws from the private to the public sphere. . . . .	37
IV. Peng Wanru’s Case: Transforming women’s movement to national system . . . . .	39
V. Signing CEDAW to align with international standards . . . . .	39
VI. The long road to the same sex marriage . . . . .	40
VII. Current challenges . . . . .	41

<b>C.</b>	<b>Dignity, Non-discrimination, and Rights of LGBTIQ+ – Challenges of Same-Sex Family Relations</b> ( <i>Eibe Riedel</i> ) . . . . .	43
I.	International Approaches to Human Dignity, Non-Discrimination and LGBTIQ+ Rights . . . . .	44
	1. The Historical Setting of LGBTIQ+ Rights . . . . .	44
	2. Sexual Orientation and Gender Identity . . . . .	46
	3. Yogyakarta Principles . . . . .	47
	4. Non-Discrimination and Specific Human Rights Relating to LGBT and the Practice of UN Bodies . . . . .	50
	5. National and Regional European Level: Challenges Posed for Same-Sex Families . . . . .	53
	a) Germany . . . . .	54
	b) European Court of Human Rights (ECtHR) . . . . .	55
	c) European Union Law . . . . .	56
	d) Taiwan . . . . .	58
II.	The Legal Position of Transgender People . . . . .	60
III.	Conclusion . . . . .	63
<b>D.</b>	<b>The quest for equality: Changes in the Taiwanese legal landscape for LGBTQ+ rights</b> ( <i>Pei-Chun Lin</i> ) . . . . .	71
I.	Introduction . . . . .	71
II.	Legalization of same-sex marriage . . . . .	72
	1. The quest for same-sex marriage legislation . . . . .	72
	2. The concept of equality for LGBTQ+ people in the Constitutional Court . . . . .	74
III.	The ongoing journey from same-sex marriage to LBGTQ+ rights . . . . .	78
	1. “Forced coming out” and the problem of a comparator . . . . .	78
	2. Evolving conceptions of gender equality and the need for an Equality Act . . . . .	82
IV.	Conclusion . . . . .	83
<b>E.</b>	<b>Making “Intersectionality” Through Human Rights Reporting: Sexual and Reproductive Health of Disabled Women</b> ( <i>Chong-Min Su and Po-Han Lee</i> ) . . . . .	85
I.	Introduction: Legal and policy contexts of reproductive rights . . . . .	86
II.	Intersectional discrimination against women with disabilities . . . . .	88

III.	Presenting “intersectionality” through human rights reporting . . . . .	92
1.	Before 2014: Disabled women as a “category” of disadvantage. . . . .	96
2.	Turning point: The CEDAW and CRPD review in 2014 and 2017. . . . .	97
3.	“Intersectionality” being highlighted: The CRPD review in 2021 . . . . .	99
4.	Intersectional concerns voiced through SRH rights monitoring. . . . .	100
IV.	Concluding remarks. . . . .	105
<b>F.</b>	<b>The Dialogue Between Indigenous Persons with Disabilities in Taiwan and the CRPD: An Investigation of an Atayal Tribe (Yi-Chun Chou)</b> . . . . .	111
I.	Introduction . . . . .	111
II.	Literature Review. . . . .	113
1.	Core Values of the CRPD and Accessibility of Community Services . . . . .	113
a)	Core Principles and Values of the CRPD . . . . .	113
b)	Accessible Community Services for PWDs: The Implementation of CRPD Core Values. . . . .	114
2.	CRPD Perspectives on Disability Policies vs. Indigenous Policies . . . . .	114
a)	The Impact of Capitalist Exploitation on the Global South. . . . .	114
b)	CRPD and the Social Model May Not Be Universally Applicable . . . . .	115
3.	Indigenous Studies vs. Disability Studies: Core Conceptual Differences. . . . .	115
a)	Social Disability vs. Social Suffering. . . . .	116
b)	Individual Rights vs. Collective Rights . . . . .	116
c)	Universal Welfare vs. Anti-Welfare Colonialism. . . . .	116
d)	The Challenges of Implementing CRPD in Indigenous Peoples. . . . .	117
4.	Indigenous Peoples and the Implementation of the CRPD in Canada, Australia, and New Zealand . . . . .	117
5.	CRPD-Implementation in Taiwan’s Indigenous Peoples. . . . .	119

Table of content

---

- III. Research Methodology . . . . . 121
  - 1. Secondary Data Analysis . . . . . 121
  - 2. Qualitative Interviews . . . . . 121
- IV. Research Findings . . . . . 122
  - 1. Harms Caused by Capitalism and State Hegemony . . . . . 122
    - a) The Impact of Capitalism and Colonialism on Indigenous Disadvantage . . . . . 122
    - b) The Absence of Community Services in Atayal Peoples in Yilan . . . . . 123
    - c) The Main Causes of Acquired Disabilities: Beyond the Social Model—The Experience of Social Suffering in Indigenous Peoples . . . . . 124
    - d) Disabilities Caused by Illness . . . . . 124
    - e) Disabilities Caused by Traffic Accidents . . . . . 124
    - f) Mental Disabilities and Substance Abuse . . . . . 125
    - g) Alcohol Consumption and Strokes . . . . . 125
    - h) A Tribe Lacking Support: Social Exclusion Beyond the Concept of Social Barriers? . . . . . 126
    - i) Inconvenient Transportation and Lack of Resources . . . . . 126
    - j) Interrupted Education . . . . . 126
    - k) Informal Labor and Poverty . . . . . 127
    - l) Family Separation and Social Isolation . . . . . 127
  - 2. The Concept of Social Discrimination: Absent from the Lives of Atayal Persons with Disabilities. . . . . 128
    - a) Compassion vs. CRPD’s Concept of Social Barriers. . . . . 128
    - b) The Use of the Term “Disabled”: Does Language Matter? . . . . . 129
  - 3. Unnecessary CRPD Community Services: As Long as There Is Family, No Outside Help Is Needed. . . . . 130
    - a) Western Community Services vs. The Needs of Atayal Persons with Disabilities . . . . . 130
    - b) “As Long as Family Is Here, We Don’t Need Outside Help” . . . . . 130
    - c) Survival First: Financial Aid Over Services . . . . . 131
- V. Conclusion and Discussion . . . . . 131
  - 1. Perceptions of Social Discrimination and Barriers Among Atayal Persons with Disabilities . . . . . 131
  - 2. Social Suffering as a Primary “Disabling” Factor . . . . . 132

3.	Limited Priority for Accessibility to CRPD Community Services . . . . .	132
4.	Indigeneity and Poverty Outweigh Disability- Related Inconveniences . . . . .	132
5.	Tailoring CRPD Implementation to Indigenous Contexts. . . . .	133
<b>G.</b>	<b>The Right to Housing and Forced Evictions – Recent Developments</b> ( <i>Lukas Romberg</i> ) . . . . .	137
I.	Introduction . . . . .	137
II.	Forced Evictions as a Worldwide Problem . . . . .	138
	1. Numbers and Facts. . . . .	138
	2. Reasons for Evictions . . . . .	139
	3. Impact on Human Rights . . . . .	139
III.	The Right to Housing in (Inter)national Law . . . . .	140
IV.	General Comments as a Cornerstone . . . . .	142
V.	The CESCR's Jurisprudence on Housing Rights. . . . .	144
	1. Implementation of the Optional Protocol . . . . .	144
	2. Right to Housing as a Core Competence of the CESCR . . . . .	146
	3. The Views of the Committee as a Concretization of the Right to Housing . . . . .	147
	a) The State as the Duty-Bearer Under the Covenant. . . . .	147
	b) Concretization of the Requirements for a Lawful Eviction Towards a Proportionality Test . . . . .	149
	c) Adequate Alternative Housing and Procedural Requirements . . . . .	151
VI.	General Comment No. 26 and (the Right to) Land . . . . .	153
VII.	Enforcement and Monitoring Problems . . . . .	154
	1. Lack of Data . . . . .	155
	2. The Enforceability Problem. . . . .	156
VIII.	Conclusion . . . . .	157
<b>H.</b>	<b>The Concluding Report of Taiwan's Transitional Justice Commission - A Holistic Approach to Transitional Justice?</b> ( <i>Jau-hwa Chen</i> ) . . . . .	163
I.	Introduction . . . . .	163
II.	The Case of Bo Yang and the Challenges it Posed to Taiwan's Transitional Justice . . . . .	165
III.	The Significance of a Holistic Approach to Transitional Justice as Underscored by the UN. . . . .	168

IV.	The Misreading of a Holistic Approach by the Commission and its Impact on the Concluding Report. . . . .	171
V.	Challenges of Taiwan’s Transitional Justice . . . . .	174
VI.	Concluding Remarks . . . . .	177
<b>I.</b>	<b>Assessing a Delayed Truth Commission: Progress and Challenges of the Taiwanese Transitional Justice Commission and Its Follow-up Mechanism (Yi-Li Lee) . . . . .</b>	<b>181</b>
I.	Introduction . . . . .	182
II.	Transitional Justice Commission and Its Follow-up Mechanisms . . . . .	183
	1. Creation of the Transitional Justice Commission . . . . .	183
	2. Follow-up Mechanisms Since 2022 . . . . .	185
III.	Progress and Challenges . . . . .	186
	1. Progress . . . . .	187
	a) Transitional Justice Commission . . . . .	187
	aa) Political archive collection and public access . . . . .	187
	bb) Handling of authoritarian symbols . . . . .	188
	cc) Preservation of sites of injustice. . . . .	188
	dd) Rectification of judicial injustices. . . . .	188
	b) Follow-Up Mechanism. . . . .	190
	c) Impact of the Incorporation of International Human Rights Laws on Transitional Justice . . . . .	192
	2. Continuity and Challenges of Taiwan’s Transitional Justice Process . . . . .	193
	a) Institutional Challenges. . . . .	193
	b) Insufficient Involvement of the National Human Rights Commission and Civil Society. . . . .	195
	c) Lack of Women’s Presence . . . . .	196
	d) Lack of Social Dialogue . . . . .	197
IV.	Conclusion . . . . .	198
<b>J.</b>	<b>From Representation to Resistance: Democratic Practices Under Authoritarian Rule in the EU Context (Bernadett Szél) . . . . .</b>	<b>205</b>
I.	Introduction . . . . .	205
II.	Conceptual Framework and Methodology . . . . .	207
III.	Copying Putin by Hungarian Standards . . . . .	211
	1. System Opposition vs Anti-System (Real) Opposition . . . . .	212

---

2.	Dismantling of the Free Press . . . . .	212
3.	The Foreign Agent Label Against NGOs . . . . .	213
4.	The 2013 Russian Anti-LGBTQ+ Law as a Model for Hungary . . . . .	213
5.	Attacks on Academic Freedom and University Autonomy . . . . .	214
IV.	Making It Hard for the Rivals: Resisting Autocratic Forces . . .	214
V.	Nontraditional Representative Tasks . . . . .	217
VI.	Conclusions: Oppositional Parliamentary Activities in an Autocratic System in Light of International Human Rights Standards . . . . .	220
<b>K.</b>	<b>Concluding remarks . . . . .</b>	<b>229</b>